Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/540,006	GRECH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KAREN YOUNKINS	3751
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>KAREN YOUNKINS</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen Olson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 August 2010	Time: <u>15:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The examiner contacted representative for applicant Stephen Olson to discuss the language in claim 1 that was cancelled in the amendment dated 8/25/2010. The examiner proposed adding this cancelled language back into the claim by way of an examiner's amendment and to clarify the language that had been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, in the final rejection dated 7/8/2010. Mr. Olson agrees the changes, resulting in the attached examiner's amendment and notice of allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/K, Y,/ Examiner, Art Unit 3751 (A	.pplicant∕Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)